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## Outline

1. Yet another variant of cubical sets
2. cubical: a type checker
3. Demo!

## Cubical Sets: Intuition

Consider a topological space $X$. A (topological) $n$-cube in $X$ is a continuous map $[0,1]^{n} \rightarrow X$.
For $I$ a finite set, let $X(I)$ be the continuous maps

$$
[0,1]^{\prime} \rightarrow X
$$

where the elements in I are called names/dimensions.
We want to represent this combinatorially!

## Cubical Sets

Let $\mathrm{D}(I)$ denote the free De Morgan algebra with generators $I$. "De Morgan algebra $=$ Boolean algebra minus $\varphi \vee \neg \varphi=1$ and $\varphi \wedge \neg \varphi=0 "$

Let $\square$ be the category with

- objects: finite sets of names $I, J, K, \ldots$, and
- morphisms $I \rightarrow J$ given by maps $I \rightarrow \mathrm{D}(J)$;

A cubical set $X$ is a presheaf on $\square^{\text {op }}$, i.e., $X: \square \rightarrow$ Set.
Concretely: $X$ is given by sets $X(I)$ and maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
X(I) & \rightarrow X(J) \quad \text { for } f: I \rightarrow J \\
u & \mapsto u f
\end{aligned}
$$

such that $(u f) g=u(f ; g)$ and $u \mathbf{1}=u$.

## Cubical Sets

Intuition: $u \in X(I)$ an element depending on names $I$, and $u f$ as performing the substitution $f: I \rightarrow J$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { E.g., } u=u(i, j) \text { in } X(i, j) \text { and } f=(i \mapsto 0, j \mapsto j \wedge k) \text {, then } \\
& \quad u f \text { is } u(0, j \wedge k) \text { in } X(j, k) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Think of an element $u=u(i, j)$ in $X(i, j)$ as formally representing a map:

$$
[0,1]^{\{i, j\}} \rightarrow X
$$

$i \wedge j$ corresponds to $\min (i, j), i \vee j$ to $\max (i, j)$, and $\neg i$ to $1-i$.

## Faces and Degeneracies

- For $i$ in $I$ there are two maps ( $i 0$ ), ( $i 1$ ): $I \rightarrow I-i$, sending $i$ to 0 (or 1 ). For $u$ in $X(I), u(i 0)$ and $u(i 1)$ are faces of $u$

$$
u(i 0) \xrightarrow{u} u(i 1)
$$

- For $j$ not in $I$, the inclusion $\iota_{j}: I \rightarrow I, j$ induces degeneracies.


## Connections, Diagonals, and Symmetry

- For $u=u(i)$ in $X(i)$, the cube $u(i \wedge j)$ connects $u(0)$ to $u(i)$

- For $u=u(i, j)$ in $X(i, j), u(k, k)$ is the diagonal of $u$, connecting $u(0,0)$ to $u(1,1)$.
- (For $u=u(i)$ in $X(i), u(\neg i)$ connects $u(1)$ to $u(0)$.)


## Path Space

For a cubical set $X$ the path space $\operatorname{Path}_{X}$ given by:

- $\langle i\rangle w \in\left(\operatorname{Path}_{X}\right)(I)$ where $i \notin I$ and $w \in X(I, i) ; i$ is bound in $\langle i\rangle w$;
- $(\langle i\rangle w) f=\langle j\rangle w f^{\prime}$ for $f: I \rightarrow J$, and $f^{\prime}=(f, i=j): I, i \rightarrow J, j, j$ fresh.
Path $X$ is $X^{\mathbb{I}}$ where $\mathbb{I}(J)=\mathrm{D}(J)$ the interval.
Fixing the endpoints gives an interpretation for $\operatorname{Id}_{X}(u, v)$.


## Kan Operations

To get the interpretation we need to require composition operations: for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{j b} \text { in } X(I-j) \\
& u_{i 0} \text { in } X(I-i) \\
& \text { s.t. } u_{j b}(i 0)=u_{i 0}(j b)
\end{aligned}
$$

we require

$$
u_{i 1}=\operatorname{comp}_{\vec{u}}^{i}\left(u_{i 0}\right) \in X(I-i)
$$

where $\vec{u}, u_{i 0}$ specifies an "open box" and $u_{i 1}$ is its "lid".
Additionally, we need that if $\vec{u}$ is constant (i.e., degenerate) along the direction $i$, then $u_{i 0}=u_{i 1}$.
Moreover: $\left(\operatorname{comp}_{\vec{u}}^{i}\left(u_{i 0}\right)\right) f=\operatorname{comp}_{\vec{u} f^{\prime}}^{j}\left(u_{i 0} f\right)$ (uniformity conditions)

## Kan Fillings

Fillings can be derived using connections:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{fill}_{\vec{u}}^{i}\left(u_{i 0}\right) \in X(I) \\
& \operatorname{fill}_{\vec{u}}^{i}\left(u_{i 0}\right)=\operatorname{comp}_{\vec{u}(i=i \wedge j)}^{j}\left(u_{i 0}\right) \quad(j \text { fresh })
\end{aligned}
$$

We get a model of type theory with $\Pi, \Sigma, I d, U$ satisfying univalence.

## Overview of Cubical

- Proof assistant based on the cubical set model.
- The Univalence Axiom and functional extensionality are available and compute!
- No indexed-families, but recursive definitions, and Id-types are a primitive notion
- Supports Higher Inductive Types (experimental)
- Available at https://github.com/simhu/cubical (branch connections_hsplit)


## Terms

$$
\begin{aligned}
r, s, t, A, F:: & x|r s| \lambda x t|\Pi A F| U \\
& |c \vec{t}| \operatorname{sum}\{c(\vec{x}: \vec{A}) \mid \ldots\} \mid \operatorname{split}\{c \vec{x} \rightarrow t ; \ldots\} \\
& \mid t \text { where } \vec{x}: \vec{A}=\vec{t} \\
& \ldots \\
& \mid \text { PN }
\end{aligned}
$$

Primitive notions

$$
P N::=\operatorname{Id} \mid \text { refl } \mid \text { Ext } \mid \text { TransU } \mid \text { IsoId } \mid \ldots
$$

## Values

$$
\begin{aligned}
u, v, w:: & t \rho|u v| \operatorname{Id}_{u}(v, w)|\Pi u v| U \\
& \mid c \vec{u} \\
& |\langle i\rangle u| \operatorname{comp}_{w, \vec{u}}^{i}\left(u_{i 0}\right) \\
& \mid \operatorname{ext}_{0} v_{1} w \varphi \\
& |x| u v|u \varphi| \ldots \quad \text { (neutral values) }
\end{aligned}
$$

On values we can define actions of cubical sets $u(i=i \wedge j), u(i 0)$, etc. Any value $u$ depends only on a finite set of names $\operatorname{supp}(u)$.

## Evaluation and Operational Semantics

$t \rho$ for eval $\rho t$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\operatorname{refl} A t) \rho & =\langle i\rangle t \rho \\
(\operatorname{Ext} f g p) \rho & =\langle i\rangle \operatorname{ext}(f \rho)(g \rho)(p \rho) i \\
\left(\operatorname{TransU} U_{A, B} p a\right) \rho & =\operatorname{comp}_{(p \rho) i}^{i}(a \rho)
\end{aligned}
$$

Operational semantics:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\quad(\lambda x t) \rho u & \rightarrow t(\rho, x=u) \\
\operatorname{ext} u_{0} u_{1} v 0 & \rightarrow u_{0} \\
\operatorname{ext} u_{0} u_{1} v 1 & \rightarrow u_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\operatorname{comp}_{w, \vec{u}}^{i}\left(u_{i 0}\right)$ is explained depending on the shape of $w$

## Structure

Bidirectional type checking:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\rho, \Gamma \vdash t \uparrow v & \text { type checking } \\
\rho, \Gamma \vdash t \downarrow v & \text { type inference }
\end{array}
$$

where: $t$ is a term, $v$ is a value ( $\rho$ an environment, $\Gamma$ assigns values to the types of variables)

$$
\frac{\rho, \Gamma \vdash t \downarrow v^{\prime} \quad v^{\prime} \equiv v}{\rho, \Gamma \vdash t \uparrow v}
$$

( $v \equiv v^{\prime}$ checks conversion of values)

## Extension: Higher Inductive Types

Example: the circle $S^{1}$
S1 : U
hdata S 1 = base
| loop © base ~ base
loop' : Id S1 base base
loop' = loop

## HITs

Two types of constructors:

- object constructors (e.g., base)

$$
c\left(x_{1}: A_{1}\right) \ldots\left(x_{n}: A_{n}\right)
$$

- path constructors (here loop);

$$
\operatorname{pc}\left(x_{1}: A_{1}\right) \ldots\left(x_{n}: A_{n}\right) @ e_{1} \sim e_{2}
$$

$e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are the end-points (whose type has to be the data type we are defining)

- no path constructors for higher identities


## HITs: hsplit

```
S1rec : (F : S1 -> U) (b : F base)
    (l : IdS S1 F base base loop b b)
    (x : S1) -> F x
S1rec F b l = hsplit F with
    base -> b
    loop -> l
```

IdS is heterogeneous equality:
IdS : (A : U) (F : A -> U) (a0 a1 : A)
(p : Id A a0 a1) ->

$$
\text { F a0 } \rightarrow \mathrm{F} \text { a1 } \rightarrow \mathrm{U}
$$

